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**Anticipatory Governance**
Anticipatory Governance is emerging as an alternative approach for planning under conditions of high uncertainty such as climate change (Quay 2010) and regional planning (Holway et al., 2012). Key to this approach is the use of foresight to anticipate a wide range of possible futures. Scenario planning is one method that is used to anticipate a range of futures.

**Traditional Scenario Planning**
With traditional scenario planning, key factors that are critical to an issue are analyzed as to their possible future state or trends. Using this analysis, several future scenarios are constructed. For each scenario the implications of this future on the issue are assessed. These assessments are then compared and contrasted to identify key heuristics that can be used to guide decisions about the issue at hand (Figure 1). This works well for issues that can be limited to 1 or 2 factors or 4 or 5 scenarios. However as issues become more complex and the number of factors increases, human cognition limits its ability to adequately compare and contrast large sets of scenarios.

**Central Arizona Water Supply and Demand**
The Decision Center for a Desert City (DCDC) is applying advanced scenario analysis to explore the complexity of water supply and demand within Central Arizona. Using WaterSim and its Scenario Ensemble Builder and Analyzer (SEBA), DCDC is analyzing a number of scenario ensembles to identify key heuristics that can be applied to regional and local water resource planning.

**Complexity and Uncertainty**
Water Resource Planning in Central Arizona is highly complex and subject to high uncertainty. Central Arizona has access to four major water supplies: surface waters of the Colorado River, surface water from the Salt Verde River systems (Figure 3), substantial aquifer water supplies, and reuses high levels of effluent. The region has over 33 individual water utilities, each of whom have a unique portfolio of water supplies they manage to meet the demands of over 4.2 million people. The region also has a significant amount of agricultural water use. The rate and location of the region’s growth is subject to uncertainty. The region has a highly complex water regulatory system administered by the Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) that limits groundwater pumping and requires all water providers to have a 100 year assured water supply. The Southwest as a whole is subject to a highly uncertain high variance climate where extremely dry years can be flowed by extremely wet years (Figure 4). The future of climate change is highly uncertain with GCM estimates of extreme precipitation range from 120% to 50% of existing averages.

**Advanced Scenario Analysis**
Advanced Scenario Analysis follows the same structure of traditional scenario planning to identify key issue factors, create scenarios, and develop heuristics for decision making, but is not limited to a small set of scenarios. Rather a large set of scenarios, and ensemble of decision making, but is not limited a small set of scenarios and factors, create scenarios, and develop heuristics for decision making.

**Results**
An initial advanced scenario analysis of this 360 scenario ensemble revealed a number of key spatial and functional heuristics:

- **Water Providers (WP) fit into five general profiles:**
  1. WPs with primarily groundwater supplies not affected by the climate change scenarios.
  2. WPs with diverse water portfolio that can sustain supplies under the less extreme climate scenarios.
  3. WPs who due to surface supply restrictions and limited groundwater reserves, lost their AWSD under some of the more extreme climate scenarios.
  4. WPs who lost their AWSD due to increased growth from regional shifts (ie., they would have done fine otherwise) under the more extreme climate change scenarios.
  5. WPs who did well under even the most extreme climate change conditions.

- **Spatial patterns emerged in the degree to which communities were impacted by climate change and possible population shifts due to some communities losing their AWSD.**
  1. WPs that had the largest average shifts in population from their community across all scenarios (they lost their AWSD) had a tendency to be in the main city and east part of the valley (Figure 6).
  2. Almost half the cities experienced scenario outcomes with an average of less than 5 years where they were unable to maintain their AWSD designation.
  3. The average length of years of not maintaining an AWSD increases from east (0 yrs) to west (>20 yrs) across the valley. (Figure 7)
  4. Impacts on overall regional groundwater supplies, which have been very slowly declining, were also assessed. Figure 8 shows the impacts of applying the Assured Water Supply Rule under all 360 climate change scenarios.

**Future Research**
DCDC will continue to explore similar policy issues such as:

- Shifting agricultural water rights to urban water providers,
- Increasing the use of water banking,
- Use of reclaimed water for potable water supplies,
- Limiting groundwater recharge to areas of influence, and
- Enhanced water conservation.
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It should be noted that there were a number of responses most communities could have implemented to address in balances between supply and demand that were not reflected in this scenario ensemble.