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**RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**
- Determine how differences in land-use types may affect the taxonomic diversity of ground arthropod communities in metropolitan Phoenix, Arizona.
- Compare ground arthropod composition and abundances among different types of urban and desert habitats and among different years.
- Identify potential indicator taxa for dominant types of land use.

**FIELD METHODS**
- From June 1998–May 1999, ground arthropods were sampled in 4 sites in each of 4 major habitats: residential yards, Sonoran desert, agricultural fields, and industrial xeriscapes.
- From June 1999–May 2000, xeric and mesic residential yards were differentiated, as were urban desert remnants and urban/desert interface, resulting in 6 sites in each of 2 additional forms of land use.
- Ten pitfall traps were set at each site for three consecutive days. Arthropods were collected each month, and were identified to family in the lab.

**RESULTS**

**Species diversity (Fisher’s Alpha)**

- We found significant differences in total arthropod abundance between years, with the highest density in the first year, and no obvious differences between the second and the third year.
- We found significant differences in total taxa richness between years, with the highest richness in the first year, and the lowest in the third year.
- Though taxa diversity was lower in the third year, the differences in diversity between years were not significant.
- We found significant differences in arthropod diversity between land use types. Diversity was the highest in urban desert remnants, and was also very high in Xeric urban yards. Mesic urban yards had the lowest diversity. All three habitats with Sonoran desert vegetation (desert parks, desert remnants and xeric yards) had the highest diversity.

**CONCLUSIONS**
- In the Greater Phoenix area, arthropod community dynamics changes in time and space.
- Some species diversity did not change in time, the higher taxa richness in the first year may be explained by ‘sampling effect’ (more individuals sampled in the first year).
- The differences in species diversity in space indicate the importance of native plants for sustaining high species diversity.
- The differences in arthropod species diversity in time and space emphasize the importance of a long term monitoring program of biological populations for future management and conservation strategies in the greater Phoenix area.